

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,801	09/18/2001	Peter G. Mikhail	8195	
7590 04/22/2004		EXAMINER		
PETER MIKHAIL			GRAY, DAVID M	
1306 6th Avenue				DARED MEANER
San Francisco, CA 94122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		44444444		(
_		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	U.			
Office Action Summary		09/954,801	MIKHAIL, PETER	R G.			
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		David M Gray	2851				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, meply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) at the cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	_			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	January 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	- "					
	matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>2-18,20-40,42-45 and 47-52</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withder Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-18,20-40,42-45 and 47-52</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration rejected.					
·	ion Papers	•					
	•	nor					
	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2003 is/s		Onlected to by the Evam	niner			
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		mici.			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			CFR 1.121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	nts have been received nts have been received iority documents have b	in Application No	ıl Stage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	it(e)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interv	view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0er No(s)/Mail Date	~/ · -	e of Informal Patent Application (PT:	O-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reele et al.

Contrary to applicant's remarks the Reele et al. reference inherently "is operable to use at least one cellular control channel to determine the location of the camera" as claimed. In order for a cellular telephone to operate the phone must establish a connection between the transmission tower and the phone's transceiver. Such a connection requires that the phone determine its location in order to select the proper transmission tower. The Ali, Bruno et al., Carlsson et al., Maloney et al., Myers et al. and Rantalainen et al. prior art references are cited in support the examiner's position that using control channels to determine the phone's location is well known and expected in the operation of a cellular telephone.

Regarding claim 13, when a single image is captured and transmitted the location is determined for each image.

Regarding claim 14, when plural images are captured and then transmitted the location is determined for a series of images.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, the specific information is disclosed in the prior art references.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,801

Art Unit: 2851

Claims 2-18, 20-40, 42-45, and 47-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Squibbs.

Regarding claims 2-18, 47, 48 and 50, contrary to applicant's remarks Squibbs clearly discloses, "the cellular transceiver is operable to use at least one cellular control channel to determine the location of the camera" in the annex A, see [0140] to [157]. See also the supporting prior art cited above with respect to Reele et al.

Regarding claim 20-33 and 49, contrary to applicant's remarks Squibbs discloses using triangulation, see [0156].

Regarding claim 23, Squibbs clearly discloses additionally using GPS to determine location, see [0095]-[0098] and [0155].

Regarding claims 34-40 and 52, contrary to applicant's remarks Squibbs clearly discloses, "at least one signal received from cellular towers over a cellular control channel" in the annex A, see [0140] to [157]. See also the supporting prior art cited above with respect to Reele et al.

Regarding claims 42-45 and 51, contrary to applicant's remarks Squibbs clearly discloses "configured to triangulate the position of the camera," see [0156]. See also the supporting prior art cited above with respect to Reele et al.

Regarding claim 51, the data channels and control channels are a so-called "dedicated physical control channel."

Regarding claim 52, applicant's attention is directed to [0147].

Finally, it is noted that the claim features applicant argues for patentability of the claims are those features which applicant acknowledges are prior art teachings. Applicant's disclosure

Application/Control Number: 09/954,801

Art Unit: 2851

does not teach how to use the control channel or triangulation in order to determine the location of the apparatus but rather incorporates by reference the known teachings in the art to support what is now argued as the patentable feature. Applicant's attention is directed to [0018]-[0021] of the outstanding disclosure.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-2119. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T & T-F 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2112. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Gray
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2851